2006-2007 SES PRELIMINARY EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: The Princeton Review

DISTRICTS SERVED: MSD Perry Township

OF STUDENTS SIGNED UP: 89 (English/Language Arts & Mathematics)

2006-2007 EVALUATION GRADES (see report below for details)

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: A-

(How satisfied are districts, schools, and parents with the services that the provider offered)?

SERVICE DELIVERY: B+

(How well did the provider implement services, and to what extent did the provider implement its program with fidelity to its originally approved application)?

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS: B

(Is the provider increasing the academic achievement of the students it served)?

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 54%

Overall score: 3.6 out of 4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting:

District recommends continuation?: 100% of districts recommend continuation

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 67%

Overall Score: 3.1 out of 4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: A-

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT				
% of parents reporting:	54%			
Overall score:	3.3 out of 4.0			
DISTRICT REPORT:				
% of districts reporting:	100%			
Overall score:	89% (16/18 possible points)			
PRINCIPAL REPORT:				
% of principals reporting:	67%			
Overall score:	3.3 out of 4.0			
ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE:	4.0 out of 4.0			
Go to (http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/pdf/OnSiteMonitoring%20Report%20(1-18-07).pdf) to view the O				
SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE:	В+			
ACADEMIC EFFE	CTIVENESS			
COMPLETION RATE:	27%			
% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS	97%			
TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:	Side Streets			
% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS	74%			
% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED 80% OR MORE SESSIONS: (Based on # attending 80% / # served who attended at	71.9%			

In order to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis provided below, students must have completed 80% of their SES sessions, must not have been retained from 2006 to 2007, and must have ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007.

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For students served by The Princeton Review in 2006-2007, 73% made scale score gains on ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts, which slightly exceeded the statewide average for all SES students, while 90% made scale score gains in Math, greatly exceeding the statewide average for all SES students statewide. 53% of students made one year's growth in English/Language Arts, and 73% made such growth in Math, again exceeding the statewide average in both subjects. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ decreased slightly from 48% to 45% for English/Language Arts, but increased from 48% to 65% in Math.

Category	The Princeton Review (E/LA)	All SES Students Statewide (E/LA)*	The Princeton Review (Math)	All SES Students Statewide (Math)*
Cutegory	Review (Lilli)	State wide (E/E/1)	Review (Math)	State wide (Math)
# of students	40	1675	40	1645
% showing growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score	73%	71%	90%	73%
% showing substantial				
(one year's) growth on				
ISTEP+ scale score**	53%	49%	73%	49%
% passing ISTEP+				
(2006)	48%	43%	48%	52%
% passing ISTEP+				
(2007)	45%	42%	65%	51%

^{*}Includes all students participating in SES who completed 80% of their sessions, were not retained from grades 2006-2007, and have ISTEP+ scores for 2006 and 2007.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

When possible, each student who participated in SES, completed 80% of his or her sessions, and had ISTEP+ scores for both 2006 and 2007 was matched with a similar student who was eligible for but did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2006 ISTEP+ scale score. The charts below provide the results of the matched comparison. The matched comparison provides a context in which to place the gains or losses made by SES students. By looking at the charts below, it can be determined whether students served by this SES provider performed about the same as similar students who did not participate in SES; worse than similar students who did not participate in SES; or better than similar students who did not participate in SES. For The Princeton Review, 25 matches out of 40 participating students (63%) were made for Math, and 26 matches out of 40 participating students (65%) were made for English/Language Arts.

MATHEMATICS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES			96%	76%	40.7	68%
Not SES	25	63%	84%	60%	30.8	48%

^{**}Substantial growth (one year's growth) is defined as making a large enough scale score gain to pass ISTEP+ from one year to the next.

As shown in the chart above, 96% of the SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to 84% of the SES students. A greater percentage of SES students (76%) showed one year's growth than non-SES students (60%). A greater percentage of SES students (68%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than non-SES students (48%).

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	# Matched	% Matched	% showing growth	% showing 1 year's growth	Average growth	% passing (2007)
SES			73%	54%	24.7	46%
Not SES	26	65%	85%	50%	23.3	50%

As shown in the chart above, 85% of the non-SES students included in the matched comparison showed any growth on ISTEP+ scale score, compared to fewer (73%) of the SES students. However, a slightly greater percentage (54%) of SES students showed one year's growth on ISTEP+ scale score as compared to similar non-SES students (50%). A greater percentage of non-SES students (50%) passed ISTEP+ in 2007 than SES students (46%).

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:

 \mathbf{B}

OVERALL GRADE: B+